

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,961	07/08/2003	Raffaele Zambrano	856063.720D1	1252
500 759	90 05/17/2004		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			но, т	UTUV
SUITE 6300	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
•	10/615,961	ZAMBRANO, RAFFAELE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	·		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Tu-Tu Ho	2818	
Period for Reply	pours on the cover sheet w	in the correspondence dudress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> .	luly 2003.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Diam asidiam of Olaims			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.	or alactica requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		Annii antian Na 00/000 000	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea		rieceived iii triis ivational Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	t received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a ils	t of the certified copies no	r received.	
	·		
Attachmont(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/2003</u> .	o) 🗀 Omer: _	 ·	

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicants' claim for priority, based on papers filed in parent application Serial No. 09/395,316 submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119, is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because it is unclear. Claim 1 recites on line 10: "the first plurality of openings extending from the first conduction terminals" and on line 14: "the first plurality of openings extending from the second conduction terminals", which features are not supported in the description and in the drawings. As best as can be understood, the phrase on line 14 should be: "the second plurality of openings extending from the second conduction terminals".

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,961

Art Unit: 2818

Page 3

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,060. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claims 1-19

of the present invention is a similar version of the claimed invention in claims 1-17 of the above-

identified U.S. Patent with similar intended scope.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Tu-Tu Ho

May 10, 2004

Vavid Nelms

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800